

Title	COMPACTNESS OF MARKOV AND SCHRÖ DINGER SEMI-GROUPS : A PROBABILISTIC APPROACH
Author(s)	Takeda, Masayoshi ; Tawara, Yoshihiro ; Tsuchida, Kaneharu
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 54(3) p.517-p.532
Issue Date	2017-07
oaire:version	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/66998
rights	
Note	

Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

COMPACTNESS OF MARKOV AND SCHRÖDINGER SEMI-GROUPS: A PROBABILISTIC APPROACH

MASAYOSHI TAKEDA, YOSHIHIRO TAWARA and KANEHARU TSUCHIDA

(Received June 10, 2016, revised July 20, 2016)

Abstract

It is proved if an irreducible, strong Feller symmetric Markov process possesses a tightness property, then its semi-group is an L^2 -compact operator. In this paper, applying this fact, we prove probabilistically the compactness of Dirichlet-Laplacians and Schrödinger operators.

1. Introduction

Let E be a locally compact separable metric space and m a positive Radon measure on E with full support. Let X be an m -symmetric Markov process on E . We assume that X is irreducible and has strong (resolvent) Feller property. Moreover, we assume that X possesses the *tightness property*, i.e., for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set K such that $\sup_{x \in E} R_1 1_{K^c}(x) \leq \epsilon$. Here R_1 is the 1-resolvent of X and 1_{K^c} is the indicator function of the complement of K . When X has these properties, we say in this paper that X belongs to Class (T). One of the authors proved in [14] that if X belongs to Class (T), its semi-group turns out to be a compact operator on $L^2(E; m)$ (Theorem 2.1). In this paper, we apply this criterion to Dirichlet Laplacians Δ_D and Schrödinger operators $\Delta - V$ with positive potential and show probabilistically the compactness of these operators.

Let $X = (\mathbb{P}_x, B_t)$ be the Brownian motion on \mathbb{R}^d and X^D the absorbing Brownian motion on a domain D . We then prove that if $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfies $\lim_{x \in D, |x| \rightarrow \infty} m(D \cap B(x, 1)) = 0$, then X^D is in Class (T) and consequently its semi-group is compact. Here m denotes the Lebesgue measure and $B(x, R)$ the ball centered at x with radius R . If x is the origin 0, we write $B(R)$ for $B(0, R)$.

We denote by \mathcal{B}_0 the set of Borel sets B such that $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} m(B \cap B(x, 1)) = 0$. In [8], a Borel set in \mathcal{B}_0 is said to be *thin at infinity*. Let V be a positive Borel function on \mathbb{R}^d locally in the Kato class. Let $X^V = (\mathbb{P}_x^V, B_t)$ be the subprocess defined by $\mathbb{P}_x^V(d\omega) = \exp\left(-\int_0^t V(B_s(\omega))ds\right)\mathbb{P}_x(d\omega)$. We show that if the set $D_M := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid V(x) \leq M\}$ belongs to \mathcal{B}_0 for any $M > 0$, then X^V is in Class (T) and its semi-group, Schrödinger semi-group of $-\Delta + V$, is compact. This fact is proved in [11], [8] analytically, while it is done in this paper probabilistically; the key to the proof of this fact is to show that the condition on V implies the tightness property of X^V .

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47D08, 60J25.

M. Takeda was supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No.26247008(A)) and Grant-in-Aid for Challenging Exploratory Research (No.25610018), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

We apply Theorem 2.1 to time changed processes. Let X be an irreducible symmetric Markov process with strong Feller property. We assume, in addition, that X is transient. We then see that for a Green-tight measure μ with full fine support, the time-changed process \check{X} by A_t^μ , the positive continuous additive functional in the Revuz correspondence to μ , belongs to Class (T). As a results, the space $(\check{\mathcal{F}}, \check{\mathcal{E}}_1 = (\check{\mathcal{E}} + (\cdot, \cdot)_\mu))$ is compactly embedded in $L^2(E; \mu)$, where $(\check{\mathcal{E}}, \check{\mathcal{F}})$ is the Dirichlet form generated by \check{X} . Moreover, let $(\mathcal{F}_e, \mathcal{E})$ be the extended Dirichlet space $(\mathcal{F}_e, \mathcal{E})$ associated with X , which turns out to be a Hilbert space under the condition for X being transient. We then see that \mathcal{F}_e is identical with $\check{\mathcal{F}}$, \mathcal{E} is equivalent to $\check{\mathcal{E}}_1$ and thus $(\mathcal{F}_e, \mathcal{E})$ is compactly embedded in $L^2(E; \mu)$. Therefore, we can conclude that for any Green-tight measure μ , the extended Dirichlet space $(\mathcal{F}_e, \mathcal{E})$ is compactly embedded in $L^2(E; \mu)$. This fact says that if μ is Green-tight with respect to 1-resolvent, then $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}_1 = (\mathcal{E} + (\cdot, \cdot)_m))$ is compactly embedded in $L^2(E; \mu)$.

Applying this result to the Brownian motion, we see that if $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfies that the measure $1_B dx$ is Green-tight, then 1-order Sobolev space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is compactly embedded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; 1_B dx)$. We see from [4, Lemma 6.11] that for a domain B , this is also necessary.

2. Preliminaries

Let E be a locally compact separable metric space, $E_\Delta = E \cup \{\Delta\}$ the one point compactification of E , and m a positive Radon measure on E with full support. Let $X = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, X_t, \mathbb{P}_x, \zeta)$ be an m -symmetric Borel right process having left limits on $(0, \zeta)$. Here ζ is the lifetime $\zeta(\omega) = \inf\{s \geq 0 \mid X_s(\omega) = \Delta\}$ and Ω is specifically taken to be the space of all right continuous functions from $[0, \infty]$ into E_Δ with $\omega(t) = \Delta$ for any $t \geq \zeta(\omega) = \inf\{s \geq 0 \mid w(s) = \Delta\}$ and $\omega(\infty) = \Delta$. The random variable ζ is called the lifetime which can be finite and X_t is defined by $X_t(\omega) = \omega(t)$ for $\omega \in \Omega$, $t \geq 0$. $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is the minimal (augmented) admissible filtration.

Let $\{p_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be the semi-group of X , $p_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}_x(f(X_t))$. By Lemma 1.4.3 in [5], $\{p_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ uniquely determines a strongly continuous Markovian semi-group $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ on $L^2(E; m)$. We define the Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$ on $L^2(E; m)$ generated by X :

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{F} = \left\{ u \in L^2(E; m) \mid \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (u - T_t u, u)_m < \infty \right\} \\ \mathcal{E}(u, v) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (u - T_t u, v)_m \text{ for } u, v \in \mathcal{F}. \end{cases}$$

We denote by \mathcal{F}_e the family of m -measurable functions u on X such that $|u| < \infty$ m -a.e. and there exists an \mathcal{E} -Cauchy sequence $\{u_n\}$ of functions in \mathcal{F} such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = u$ m -a.e. We call \mathcal{F}_e the *extended Dirichlet space* of $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$. Every $u \in \mathcal{F}_e$ has a quasi-continuous version \tilde{u} ([5, Theorem 2.1.3]). In the sequel, we always assume that every function $u \in \mathcal{F}_e$ is represented by its quasi-continuous version.

Let us denote by $\{R_\alpha\}_{\alpha > 0}$ the resolvent of X ,

$$R_\alpha f(x) = \mathbb{E}_x \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} f(X_t) dt \right), \quad f \in \mathcal{B}_b(E),$$

where $\mathcal{B}_b(E)$ is the space of bounded Borel functions on E . We now make three assumptions on X :

- I. (**Irreducibility**) If a Borel set A is p_t -invariant, i.e., $\int_A p_t 1_{A^c} dm = 0$ for any $t > 0$, then A satisfies either $m(A) = 0$ or $m(A^c) = 0$. Here 1_{A^c} is the indicator function of the complement of A .
- II. (**Resolvent Strong Feller Property**) $R_\alpha(\mathcal{B}_b(E)) \subset C_b(E)$, $\alpha > 0$, where $C_b(E)$ is the space of bounded continuous functions.
- III. (**Tightness Property**) For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a compact set K such that $\sup_{x \in E} R_1 1_{K^c}(x) \leq \epsilon$. Here 1_{K^c} is the indicator function of the complement of K .
- We here say that a Markov process belongs to **Class (T)** if it possess the properties I, II, III.

REMARK 2.1. (i) If $R_1 1 \in C_\infty(E)$, then X is explosive and satisfies the assumption III. In fact, it follows from the maximum property that

$$\sup_{x \in E} R_1 1_{K^c}(x) = \sup_{x \in K^c} R_1 1_{K^c}(x) \leq \sup_{x \in K^c} R_1 1(x).$$

Here $C_\infty(E)$ is the set of continuous functions vanishing at infinity.

- (ii) If $C_\infty(E)$ is invariant under R_1 , $R_1(C_\infty(E)) \subset C_\infty(E)$, then $R_1 1 \in C_\infty(E)$ is equivalent to III. In fact, assume III. For a compact set K , take a positive function $g \in C_\infty(E)$ such that $1_K \leq g$. We then see from the invariance of $C_\infty(E)$ that $0 \leq \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} R_1 1_K(x) \leq \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} R_1 g(x) = 0$. Hence for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set K such that

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} R_1 1(x) \leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} R_1 1_K(x) + \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} R_1 1_{K^c}(x) \leq \sup_{x \in E} R_1 1_{K^c}(x) \leq \epsilon,$$

which implies $R_1 1 \in C_\infty(E)$. Hence, if $C_\infty(E)$ is invariant under R_1 and X is conservative, $R_1 1 = 1$, then X does not have the tightness property, in particular, the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process does not.

- (iii) Assume that m is finite, $m(E) < \infty$ and that $\{p_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is ultra-contractive, $\|p_t\|_{1,\infty} = c_t < \infty$ for any $t > 0$. Here $\|\cdot\|_{1,\infty}$ is the operator norm from $L^1(E; m)$ to $L^\infty(E; m)$. Note that c_t is non-increasing because $\|p_t\|_{1,\infty} \leq \|p_s\|_{1,\infty} \cdot \|p_{t-s}\|_{\infty,\infty} \leq \|p_s\|_{1,\infty}$ for $0 < s < t$. We then see that X has the tightness property III. Indeed, for any $\delta > 0$ and a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$

$$R_1 1_{K^c}(x) \leq \int_0^\delta e^{-t} p_t 1_{K^c}(x) dt + \int_\delta^\infty e^{-t} p_t 1_{K^c}(x) dt \leq (1 - e^{-\delta}) + c_\delta \cdot m(K^c).$$

Hence for any $\epsilon > 0$ $\|R_1 1_{K^c}\|_\infty < \epsilon$, if $\delta > 0$ and a compact set K satisfy $1 - \exp(-\delta) < \epsilon/2$ and $c_\delta \cdot m(K^c) < \epsilon/2$.

It follows from the assumption II that the resolvent kernel is absolutely continuous with respect to m ,

$$R_\beta(x, dy) = R_\beta(x, y)m(dy), \text{ for each } \alpha > 0, x \in E.$$

As a result, the transition probability $p_t(x, dy)$ is also absolutely continuous with respect to m ,

$$p_t(x, dy) = p_t(x, y)m(dy) \text{ for each } t > 0, x \in E$$

([5, Theorem 4.2.4]). By [5, Lemma 4.2.4] the density $R_\beta(x, y)$ is assumed to be a non-negative Borel function such that $R_\beta(x, y)$ is symmetric and β -excessive in x and in y . Under

the absolute continuity condition, “quasi-everywhere” statements are strengthened to “everywhere” ones.

One of the authors proved the next theorem ([14, Theorem 4.1]).

Theorem 2.1 ([14]). *If a Markov process X is in Class (T), then its semi-group p_t is compact on $L^2(E; m)$.*

We denote by S_{00} the set of positive Borel measures μ such that $\mu(E) < \infty$ and $R_1\mu(x) (= \int_E R_1(x, y)\mu(dy))$ is uniformly bounded in $x \in E$. A positive Borel measure μ on E is said to be *smooth* if there exists a sequence $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of Borel sets increasing to E such that $1_{E_n} \cdot \mu \in S_{00}$ for each n and

$$\mathbb{P}_x \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{E \setminus E_n} \geq \zeta \right) = 1, \quad \forall x \in E,$$

where $\sigma_{E \setminus E_n}$ is the first hitting time of $E \setminus E_n$. The totality of smooth measures is denoted by S_1 .

If an additive functional $\{A_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is positive and continuous with respect to t for each $\omega \in \Omega$, it is said to be a *positive continuous additive functional* (PCAF in abbreviation). By [5, Theorem 5.1.7]¹, there exists a one-to-one correspondence between positive smooth measures and PCAF's (**Revuz correspondence**): for each smooth measure μ , there exists a unique PCAF $\{A_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ such that for any positive Borel function f on E and γ -excessive function h ($\gamma \geq 0$), that is, $e^{-\gamma t} p_t h \leq h$,

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \mathbb{E}_{h, m} \left(\int_0^t f(X_s) dA_s \right) = \int_E f(x) h(x) \mu(dx).$$

Here $\mathbb{E}_{h, m}(\cdot) = \int_E \mathbb{E}_x(\cdot) h(x) m(dx)$. We denote by A_t^μ the PCAF corresponding to the smooth measure μ .

We now introduce two classes of positive smooth measures which play a crucial role.

DEFINITION 2.1. (i) A positive measure $\mu \in S_1$ is said to be in the *Kato class* (in notation, $\mu \in \mathcal{K}$), if

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in E} \int_E R_\beta(x, y) d\mu(y) = 0.$$

A positive measure $\mu \in S_1$

(ii) Suppose X is transient. A measure $\mu \in \mathcal{K}$ is said to be *Green-tight* (in notation, $\mu \in \mathcal{K}_\infty(R)$), if for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set K such that

$$\sup_{x \in E} \int_{K^c} R(x, y) d\mu(y) \leq \epsilon.$$

If the measure $\mu(dx) = V(x)m(dx)$ is in \mathcal{K} (resp. \mathcal{K}_∞), we also denote $V \in \mathcal{K}$ (resp. \mathcal{K}_∞).

Note that if X is transient, then $(\mathcal{F}_e, \mathcal{E})$ is a Hilbert space. The next theorem is proved by Stollmann-Voigt [13].

¹In [5], the measure μ (resp. PCAF A_t) is said to be a *smooth measure in the strict sense* (resp. a PCAF in the strict sense). We treat only smooth measures in the strict sense and PCAF's in the strict sense, and omit the term “in the strict sense”.

Theorem 2.2. For $\mu \in \mathcal{K}_\infty(R)$

$$(2.3) \quad \int_E u^2(x) \mu(dx) \leq \|R\mu\|_\infty \cdot \mathcal{E}(u, u), \quad u \in \mathcal{F}_e.$$

Here, $R\mu(x) = \int_E R(x, y) d\mu(y)$.

Note that $\|R\mu\|_\infty$ is finite by [2, Proposition 2.2]. Let $\check{X} = (\check{\mathbb{P}}, \check{X}_t)$ be the time-changed process, that is, $\check{\mathbb{P}}_x = \mathbb{P}_x$, $\check{X}_t = X_{\tau_t}$, $\tau_t = \inf\{s > 0 : A_s^\mu > t\}$. Define

$$F = \{x \in X : \mathbb{P}_x(\tau_0 = 0) = 1\}.$$

We call F the *fine support* of μ . Note that the 0-resolvent \check{R} of \check{X} is written as

$$\check{R}f(x) = \int_F R(x, y) f(y) d\mu(y), \quad f \in L^2(F; \mu),$$

We then see from (2.3) that for $\mu \in \mathcal{K}_\infty(R)$, $(\mathcal{F}_e, \mathcal{E})$ is continuously embedded in $L^2(E; \mu)$ and so \check{R} is a bounded operator on $L^2(F; \mu)$.

Theorem 2.3 ([14]). Assume that a Markov process X satisfies I and II. If X is transient, then for $\mu \in \mathcal{K}_\infty(R)$, $(\mathcal{F}_e, \mathcal{E})$ is compactly embedded in $L^2(E; \mu)$.

Theorem 2.3 is an extension of Theorem 2.1. Indeed, $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}_1)$ is a transient regular Dirichlet space and its extended Dirichlet space equals $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}_1)$. Notice that the 1-resolvent R_1 is identical with the 0-resolvent of $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}_1)$. We then see from Theorem 2.3 that if μ is Green-tight with respect to the 1-resolvent R_1 (in notation, $\mu \in \mathcal{K}_\infty(R_1)$), then $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}_1)$ is compactly embedded in $L^2(E; \mu)$. It is known in [2, Theorem 4.2] that if X is in Class (T), then m belongs to $\mathcal{K}_\infty(R_1)$. We then obtain Theorem 2.1 because the semi-group p_t is compact if and only if $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}_1)$ is compactly embedded in $L^2(E; m)$.

Corollary 2.1. Assume that a Markov process X satisfies I and II. If μ is a smooth measure in $\mathcal{K}_\infty(R_1)$, then $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}_1)$ is compactly embedded in $L^2(E; \mu)$. In particular, if X is in Class (T), $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}_1)$ is compactly embedded in $L^2(E; m)$.

Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.1 tell us that the 0-resolvent and 1-resolvent of \check{X} define compact operators on $L^2(F; \mu)$ respectively.

3. Dirichlet Laplacian

In this section, we deal with the Brownian motion $X = (\mathbb{P}_x, B_t)$ on \mathbb{R}^d and give, as an application of Theorem 2.1, a sufficient condition for the compactness of semi-groups of Dirichlet-Laplacians.

Lemma 3.1. Let p_t be the semi-group of the Brownian motion. Then

$$\|p_t\|_{p,\infty} \leq \frac{C}{t^{d/(2p)}}, \quad p \geq 1,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{p,\infty}$ is the operator norm from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proof. Note that for $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$|p_t f(x)| \leq (p_t |f|^p(x))^{1/p}.$$

Hence we have, on account of $\|p_t\|_{1,\infty} \leq C/t^{d/2}$

$$\|p_t f\|_\infty \leq \|p_t |f|^p\|_\infty^{1/p} \leq \|p_t\|_{1,\infty}^{1/p} \cdot \| |f|^p \|_1^{1/p} = \|p_t\|_{1,\infty}^{1/p} \cdot \|f\|_p \leq \frac{C}{t^{d/(2p)}} \cdot \|f\|_p.$$

□

Let \mathcal{D} the set of domains in \mathbb{R}^d . We set

$$\mathcal{D}_0 = \left\{ D \in \mathcal{D} \mid \lim_{x \in D, |x| \rightarrow \infty} m(D \cap B(x, 1)) = 0 \right\},$$

where m denotes the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^d .

Denote by τ_B be the first exit time from a Borel set B , $\tau_B = \inf\{t > 0 \mid B_t \notin B\}$.

Lemma 3.2. *If a domain D belongs to \mathcal{D}_0 , then $\lim_{x \in D, |x| \rightarrow \infty} p_t^D 1(x) = 0$ for any $t > 0$.*

Proof. Note that for $t > 0$

$$\int_0^t 1_{D \cap B(x, 1)^c}(B_s) ds \leq \int_0^t 1_{B(x, 1)^c}(B_s) ds \leq (t - \tau_{B(x, 1)})^+$$

($a^+ = a \vee 0$) and that

$$\{\tau_D > t\} \subset \left\{ \int_0^t 1_D(B_s) ds = t \right\}.$$

We then have for any $0 < \varepsilon < t$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_x(\tau_D > t) &\leq \mathbb{P}_x\left(\int_0^t 1_{D \cap B(x, 1)}(B_s) ds \geq \varepsilon\right) + \mathbb{P}_x\left(\int_0^t 1_{D \cap B(x, 1)^c}(B_s) ds \geq t - \varepsilon\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}_x\left(\int_0^t 1_{D \cap B(x, 1)}(B_s) ds \geq \varepsilon\right) + \mathbb{P}_x((t - \tau_{B(x, 1)})^+ \geq t - \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1

$$\mathbb{E}_x\left(\int_0^t 1_{D \cap B(x, 1)}(B_s) ds\right) \leq \int_0^t \frac{C}{s^{d/(2p)}} ds \cdot m(D \cap B(x, 1))^{1/p},$$

and $\int_0^t 1/s^{d/(2p)} ds < \infty$ for $p > d/2$. Hence the right-hand side above tends to 0 as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ in D by the assumption on D , and thus

$$\lim_{x \in D, |x| \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_x\left(\int_0^t 1_{D \cap B(x, 1)}(B_s) ds \geq \varepsilon\right) = 0.$$

Noting that $\mathbb{P}_x((t - \tau_{B(x, 1)})^+ \geq t - \varepsilon) = \mathbb{P}_0(\tau_{B(1)} \leq \varepsilon)$, we have

$$\limsup_{x \in D, |x| \rightarrow \infty} p_t^D 1(x) = \limsup_{x \in D, |x| \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_x(\tau_D > t) \leq \mathbb{P}_0(\tau_{B(1)} \leq \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. □

From Lemma 3.2, we immediately obtain the next corollary.

Corollary 3.1. *If a domain D belongs to \mathcal{D}_0 , then $\lim_{x \in D, |x| \rightarrow \infty} R_1^D 1(x) = 0$.*

Lemma 3.3. *If a domain D belongs to \mathcal{D}_0 , then the absorbing BM on D is in Class (T).*

Proof. The irreducibility I and the resolvent strong Feller property II follow from [5, Exercise 4.6.3] and [3, Theorem 2.2] respectively.

Note that for a compact subset K of D

$$R_1^D 1_{K^c} = R_1^D 1_{B(R)^c \cap K^c} + R_1^D 1_{B(R) \cap K^c} \leq R_1^D 1_{B(R)^c \cap K^c} + R_1 1_{D \cap B(R) \cap K^c}.$$

We see that by the maximum principle

$$\sup_{x \in D} R_1^D 1_{B(R)^c \cap K^c}(x) = \sup_{x \in D \cap B(R)^c} R_1^D 1_{B(R)^c \cap K^c}(x) \leq \sup_{x \in D \cap B(R)^c} R_1^D 1(x)$$

and that by Corollary 3.1 the right-hand side above tends to 0 as $R \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $R > 0$ such that $\sup_{x \in D} R_1^D 1_{B(R)^c \cap K^c}(x) \leq \epsilon/2$ for any compact subset $K \subset D$.

Let $\{K_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be an increasing sequence of compact subsets of $D \cap B(R)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(D \cap B(R) \cap K_n^c) = 0$. Then by using the maximum principle again

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in D} R_1 1_{D \cap B(R) \cap K_n^c}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} R_1 1_{D \cap B(R) \cap K_n^c}(x) = 0.$$

Hence, $\sup_{x \in D} R_1 1_{D \cap B(R) \cap K_n^c}(x) \leq \epsilon/2$ for a large n . Therefore, the tightness property III of the absorbing BM on D is proved. \square

We now obtain the next corollary as an application of Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 3.2. *If a domain D belongs to \mathcal{D}_0 , then the semi-group of the Dirichlet Laplacian on D is compact.*

4. Compact Embedding of the Sobolev Spaces

At the first part of this section, the 1-resolvent is associated with the d -dimensional Brownian motion.

We set

$$\mathcal{B}_0 = \left\{ B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} m(B \cap B(x, 1)) = 0 \right\}.$$

Note that for $B \in \mathcal{B}_0$

$$(4.1) \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} m(B \cap B(x, R)) = 0, \quad \forall R > 0.$$

The 1-resolvent kernel of the d -dimensional Brownian motion ($d \geq 3$) has the following bound ([9, Theorem 6.23])²:

$$R_1(x, y) \simeq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{d-2}}, & |x - y| \leq 1, \\ \frac{e^{-\sqrt{2}|x-y|}}{|x - y|^{(d-1)/2}}, & |x - y| \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4.1. *B belongs to \mathcal{B}_0 if and only if $m^B(\bullet) (= m(B \cap \bullet))$ is in $\mathcal{K}_\infty(R_1)$.*

²For positive functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ on some set Z , we write $f \simeq g$ if there exists a positive constant C such that $C^{-1} \leq f(z)/g(z) \leq C$, $\forall z \in Z$.

Proof. Suppose $B \in \mathcal{B}_0$. For $R > l > 1$

$$B_1 = B(R)^c \cap B(x, l) \cap B, \quad B_2 = B(R)^c \cap B(x, l)^c \cap B.$$

Since $B(R)^c \cap B(x, l) = \emptyset$ for $x \in B(R - l)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 1_{B(R)^c \cap B}(x) &\leq C_1 \int_{B_1} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{d-2}} dy + C_2 \int_{B_2} \frac{e^{-\sqrt{2}|x-y|}}{|x - y|^{(d-1)/2}} dy \\ &\leq C_1 \sup_{x \in B(R-l)^c} \int_{B(x, l) \cap B} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{d-2}} dy + C_2 \int_{B(x, l)^c} \frac{e^{-\sqrt{2}|x-y|}}{|x - y|^{(d-1)/2}} dy. \end{aligned}$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, the second term of the right-hand side is less than $\varepsilon/2$ for a large l , and the first term is less than $\varepsilon/2$ for a large R because $B \in \mathcal{B}_0$. Hence m^B belongs to $\mathcal{K}_\infty(R_1)$.

Suppose $m^B \in \mathcal{K}_\infty(R_1)$. Then for $x \in B(R + 1)^c$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(R)^c} R_1(x, y) m^B(dy) &\geq \int_{B(R)^c \cap B \cap B(x, 1)} R_1(x, y) dy \geq c_1 \int_{B \cap B(x, 1)} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{d-2}} dy \\ &\geq c_1 m(B \cap B(x, 1)), \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|x| \geq R+1} m(B \cap B(x, 1)) \leq \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{B(R)^c} R_1(x, y) m^B(dy) = 0.$$

□

We obtain the next corollary from Corollary 2.1.

Corollary 4.1. *If $B \in \mathcal{B}_0$, then $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is compactly embedded in $L^2(B)$.*

Corollary 4.1 is known (cf. [4, Chapter X, Lemma 6.11, Lemma 6.12]). Moreover, it is shown in [4, Lemma 6.11] that the condition for an open set D being in \mathcal{B}_0 is a necessary and sufficient one for $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ being compactly embedded in $L^2(D)$. Hence we can summarize as follows:

Theorem 4.1. *Let D be a domain of \mathbb{R}^d . The following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) $D \in \mathcal{B}_0$;
- (ii) $m^D \in \mathcal{K}_\infty(R_1)$;
- (iii) $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is compactly embedded in $L^2(D)$.

4.1. Existence of Ground States. In the sequel, let us consider the symmetric α -stable process on \mathbb{R}^d , the Lévy process with generator $-(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 2$, and denote it by $X^\alpha = (\mathbb{P}_x, X_t)$. We suppose, in addition, the transience of X^α , $d > \alpha$. The Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ of X^α on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is expressed by

$$\mathcal{E}^\alpha(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (u(y) - u(x))(v(y) - v(x)) \frac{A_{d, \alpha}}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} dx dy, \quad \mathcal{F} = H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where $H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the Sobolev space of order $\alpha/2$ and

$$A_{d, \alpha} = \frac{\alpha \cdot 2^{\alpha-1} \Gamma(\frac{\alpha+d}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{d}{2}} \Gamma(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})}, \quad \Gamma(s) := \int_0^\infty x^{s-1} e^{-x} dx.$$

The transition density of X^α , $p(t, x, y)$, satisfies

$$(4.2) \quad p(t, x, y) \simeq t^{-\frac{d}{\alpha}} \wedge \frac{t}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}},$$

and the 1-resolvent density $R_1(x, y)$

$$R_1(x, y) \simeq \int_0^{|x-y|^\alpha} e^{-t} \frac{t}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}} dt + \int_{|x-y|^\alpha}^\infty e^{-t} t^{-\frac{d}{\alpha}} dt.$$

The first term of the right-hand side above equals

$$\frac{1 - (1 + |x - y|^\alpha) e^{-|x-y|^\alpha}}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} \simeq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{d-\alpha}}, & |x - y| \leq 1, \\ \frac{1}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}}, & |x - y| \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

and the second term is less than

$$e^{-|x-y|^\alpha} \int_{|x-y|^\alpha}^\infty t^{-\frac{d}{\alpha}} dt = \frac{\alpha}{d - \alpha} \frac{e^{-|x-y|^\alpha}}{|x - y|^{d-\alpha}}.$$

We then see that

$$(4.3) \quad R_1(x, y) \simeq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{d-\alpha}}, & |x - y| \leq 1, \\ \frac{1}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}}, & |x - y| \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

For $V \in \mathcal{B}_+(\mathbb{R}^d)$ let

$$M(r) = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in B(r)^c} V(x)$$

and set

$$\mathcal{V} = \left\{ V \in \mathcal{B}_+(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \|V 1_{B(x,1)}\|_1 = 0, \exists r_0 > 0 \text{ s.t. } M(r_0) < \infty \right\}.$$

Corollary 4.2. *If V is in $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{K}$, then V belongs to $\mathcal{K}_\infty(R_1)$. In particular, if $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is in \mathcal{B}_0 , then $H^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $0 < \beta \leq 1$ is compactly embedded in $L^2(B)$.*

Let

$$V_\gamma(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^\gamma} \wedge 1, \quad \gamma > 0.$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let R be the Green function of the transient symmetric α -stable process, $R(x, y) \simeq 1/|x - y|^{d-\alpha}$. Then V_γ belongs to $\mathcal{K}_\infty(R)$ if and only if $\gamma > \alpha$.*

Proof. If $\gamma > \alpha$, then $V_\gamma \in \mathcal{K}_\infty(R)$. Indeed, take p so that $d/\alpha > p > d/\gamma$ and let $q = p/(p - 1)$. For $R > 1 > \varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{|y| \geq R\} \cap \{|y-x| \geq \varepsilon\}} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{d-\alpha}} \frac{1}{|y|^\gamma} dy &\leq \left(\int_{\{|y-x| \geq \varepsilon\}} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{(d-\alpha)q}} \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_{\{|y| \geq R\}} \frac{1}{|y|^{\gamma p}} dy \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \omega_1 \left(\int_\varepsilon^\infty \frac{1}{r^{(d-\alpha)q-d+1}} dr \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_R^\infty \frac{1}{r^{\gamma p-d+1}} dr \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(d - \alpha)q - d + 1 = (d - \alpha p)/(p - 1) + 1 > 1$ and $\gamma p - d + 1 > 1$, the right hand side tends to 0 as $R \rightarrow \infty$. Hence

$$\limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\{|y| \geq R\}} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{d-\alpha}} V_\gamma(y) dy \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\{|y| \geq R\} \cap \{|y-x| \leq \varepsilon\}} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{d-\alpha}} V_\gamma(y) dy.$$

Since

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\{|y| \geq R\} \cap \{|y-x| \leq \varepsilon\}} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{d-\alpha}} V_\gamma(y) dy \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\{|y-x| \leq \varepsilon\}} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{d-\alpha}} dy = 0,$$

we have

$$\limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\{|y| \geq R\}} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{d-\alpha}} V_\gamma(y) dy = 0.$$

For $\gamma \leq \alpha$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\{|y| \geq R\}} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{d-\alpha}} V_\gamma(y) dy &\geq \int_{\{|y| \geq R\}} \frac{1}{|y|^{d-\alpha}} \frac{1}{|y|^\gamma} dy \\ &= \omega_1 \int_R^\infty \frac{1}{r^{\gamma-\alpha+1}} dr = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and thus $V_\gamma \notin \mathcal{K}_\infty(R)$. □

For any $\gamma > 0$, V_γ belongs to $\mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{V}$ and so to $\mathcal{K}_\infty(R_1)$ by Corollary 4.2. The lemma above tells us that $\mathcal{K}_\infty(R)$ is strictly included in $\mathcal{K}_\infty(R_1)$.

We see that for $\alpha < \gamma \leq d$, V_γ is in $\mathcal{K}_\infty(R)$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} V_\gamma(x) dx = \infty$. Combining Theorem 2.3 with Lemma 4.2, we see that if $\gamma > \alpha$, then the extended Dirichlet space $H_e^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is compactly embedded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; V_\gamma dx)$. However, we see that the embedding is not compact if $\gamma = \alpha$. Indeed, we see from Hardy's inequality,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2(x) \frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} dx \leq C \mathcal{E}^\alpha(u, u)$$

that $H_e^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is continuously embedded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; V_\alpha dx)$. In other words, the 0-order resolvent operator \check{R} of the time-changed process by $\int_0^t V_\alpha(X_s) ds$,

$$\check{R}^\alpha f(x) = R(V_\alpha f)(x)$$

is a bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; V_\alpha dx)$ and so is

$$T^\alpha f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K^\alpha(x, y) f(y) dy, \quad K^\alpha(x, y) = \frac{\sqrt{V_\alpha(x) V_\alpha(y)}}{|x - y|^{d-\alpha}}$$

on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ because of the unitary equivalence between \check{R}^α and T^α . Moreover, the compact embedding of $H_e^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ into $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; V_\alpha dx)$ is equivalent to the compactness of the operator T^α on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The kernel K^α is called the *Birman-Schwinger Kernel* (cf. [12, Section 7.9]). Note that the time changed operator \check{R} can be defined for a smooth measure μ by $R^\alpha(f\mu)$; however, T^α cannot be defined because the root of measure μ has no meaning.

Let $\varphi_0 = 1_{B(2) \setminus B(1)}$ and define

$$\varphi_n(x) = 2^{-\frac{d(d-\alpha)}{2}n} \varphi_0(2^{-(d-\alpha)n} x).$$

Then we can check that $\|\varphi_n\|_2 = \|\varphi_0\|_2$, φ_n converges L^2 -weakly to 0, and

$$(\varphi_n, T^\alpha \varphi_n) = \iint_{1 \leq |x| \leq 2, 1 \leq |y| \leq 2} \frac{1}{|x|^{\alpha/2} |x-y|^{d-\alpha} |y|^{\alpha/2}} dx dy.$$

If T^α is compact, then $T^\alpha \varphi_n$ converges L^2 -strongly to 0 and $(\varphi_n, T^\alpha \varphi_n)$ converges to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which is contradictory. Hence, we have the next proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose $d > \alpha$. The extended Dirichlet space $H_e^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is compactly embedded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; V_\gamma dx)$ if and only if $\gamma > \alpha$.*

Using Corollary 2.1, we show existence of ground states of Schrödinger operators. There exists a decreasing function g on $[0, \infty)$ and $R_1(x, y)$ is written as

$$R_1(x, y) = g(|x - y|).$$

and for $V \in \mathcal{K} \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} R_1(x, y) V(y) dy &= \int_{|x-y| \leq \varepsilon} g(|x-y|) V(y) dy + \int_{|x-y| > \varepsilon} g(|x-y|) V(y) dy \\ &\leq k(\varepsilon) + g(\varepsilon) \|V\|_1, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$k(\varepsilon) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|x-y| \leq \varepsilon} g(|x-y|) V(y) dy.$$

It is known in [1] that

$$(4.5) \quad V \in \mathcal{K} \iff \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} k(\varepsilon) = 0.$$

Lemma 4.1 can be extended as follows:

Proposition 4.2. *If V is in $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{K}$, then V belongs to $\mathcal{K}_\infty(R_1)$.*

Proof. For $R > l > r_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(R)^c} R_1(x, y) V(y) dy &= \int_{B(R)^c \cap B(x, l)^c} g(|x-y|) V(y) dy + \int_{B(R)^c \cap B(x, l)} g(|x-y|) V(y) dy \\ &\leq M(r_0) \omega_1 \int_l^\infty g(r) r^{d-1} dr + \int_{B(R)^c} g(|x-y|) (V 1_{B(x, l)})(y) dy, \end{aligned}$$

where ω_1 is the surface area of the unit sphere. By (4.4) the second term of the right-hand side is less than

$$\sup_{x \in B(R-l)^c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(|x-y|) (V 1_{B(x, l)})(y) dy \leq \sup_{x \in B(R-l)^c} (k(\varepsilon) + g(\varepsilon) \|V 1_{B(x, l)}\|_1).$$

By the assumption $V \in \mathcal{V}$,

$$\limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{B(R)^c} R_1(x, y) V(y) dy \leq M(r_0) \omega_1 \int_l^\infty g(r) r^{d-1} dr + k(\varepsilon)$$

and by (4.5) the second term of the right-hand side tends to 0 as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. Letting $l \uparrow \infty$ leads us to $V \in \mathcal{K}_\infty(R_1)$. \square

Note that the equivalence (4.5) is valid for X^α (cf. [7]). Then the estimate (4.3) of R_1 leads us to Proposition 4.2 for X^α by the same argument.

Proposition 4.3. *If $V \in \mathcal{K}$ satisfies $V1_{\{V \geq \varepsilon\}} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$, then $V \in \mathcal{K}_\infty(R_1)$.*

Proof. Since the 1-resolvent kernel $R_1(x, y)$ can be written as $g(|x - y|)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(R)^c} R_1(x, y) V(y) dy &= \int_{B(R)^c \cap \{V \geq \varepsilon\}} g(|x - y|) V(y) dy + \int_{B(R)^c \cap \{V < \varepsilon\}} g(|x - y|) V(y) dy \\ &\leq \int_{B(R)^c \cap \{V \geq \varepsilon\}} g(|x - y|) V(y) dy + \varepsilon \omega_1 \int_0^\infty g(r) r^{d-1} dr. \end{aligned}$$

Noting $\mathcal{K} \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathcal{K}_\infty(R)$ by [16, Proposition 1], we have

$$\limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{B(R)^c} R_1(x, y) V(y) dy \leq \varepsilon \omega_1 \int_0^\infty g(r) r^{d-1} dr \longrightarrow 0, \quad \varepsilon \downarrow 0.$$

□

For $V = V^+ - V^- \in \mathcal{K}_{loc} - \mathcal{K}$ we define

$$\mathcal{E}^V(u, u) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{D}(u, u) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2 V dx, \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; V^+ dx),$$

where \mathbb{D} denotes the Dirichlet integral.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $V = V^+ - V^- \in \mathcal{K}_{loc} - \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{V}$. If*

$$(4.6) \quad \lambda_0 := \inf \left\{ \mathcal{E}^V(u, u) \mid u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; V^+ dx), \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2 dx = 1 \right\} < 0,$$

then a minimizer for λ_0 exists.

Proof. Let γ_0 be the positive constant such that

$$(4.7) \quad \inf \left\{ \mathcal{E}^{V^+}(u, u) + \gamma_0(u, u)_m \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2 V^- dx = 1, u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; V^+ dx) \right\} = 1.$$

V^- belongs to $\mathcal{K}_\infty(R_1) \subset \mathcal{K}_\infty(R_1^{V^+})$ by Proposition 4.2 and a minimizer, φ_0 , in (4.7) exists by Corollary 2.1. Put $\phi_0 = \varphi_0 / \|\varphi_0\|_2$. Then $\|\phi_0\|_2 = 1$, $\mathcal{E}^V(\phi_0, \phi_0) + \gamma_0(\phi_0, \phi_0)_m = 0$ and thus

$$(4.8) \quad \inf \left\{ \mathcal{E}^V(u, u) + \gamma_0(u, u)_m \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2 dx = 1, u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; V^+ dx) \right\} \leq 0.$$

We see from the same argument as in [15, Lemma 2.2] that

$$\inf \left\{ \mathcal{E}^{V^+}(u, u) + \gamma_0(u, u)_m \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2 V^- dx = 1, u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; V^+ dx) \right\} \geq 1$$

if and only if

$$\inf \left\{ \mathcal{E}^V(u, u) + \gamma_0(u, u)_m \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2 dx = 1, u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; V^+ dx) \right\} \geq 0.$$

Hence by combining (4.7) with (4.8) we conclude that

$$\gamma_0 + \inf \left\{ \mathcal{E}^V(u, u) \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2 dx = 1, u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; V^+ dx) \right\} = 0,$$

λ_0 equals $-\gamma_0$ and $\varphi_0/\|\varphi_0\|_2$ is a minimizer for λ_0 . \square

Suppose that $V \in L^{d/2}(\mathbb{R}^d) + L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ on \mathbb{R}^d *vanishes at infinity*, that is, it satisfies

$$(4.9) \quad m(\{x \mid |V(x)| > \varepsilon\}) < \infty \text{ for all } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Then it is known in [9, Theorem 11.5] that if, in addition, V satisfies (4.6), then a minimizer exists. Note that $V \in \mathcal{V}$ does not satisfy (4.9) in general. Indeed, for $B \in \mathcal{B}_0$ with $m(B) = \infty$, $V := 1_B$ does not satisfy (4.9).

5. Schrödinger Semi-groups

Recall that E is a locally compact separable metric space and m is a positive Radon measure on E with full support. Let X be an m -symmetric Borel right process having left limits on $(0, \zeta)$, where ζ is the life time (see section 2). In this section, we assume that X has the properties I and II. We define the Schrödinger semi-group $\{p_t^\mu\}_{t \geq 0}$ by

$$p_t^\mu f(x) = \mathbb{E}_x \left(e^{-A_t^\mu} f(X_t) \right), \quad f \in \mathcal{B}_b(E),$$

and consider the compactness of the operator p_t^μ on $L^2(E; m)$.

Lemma 5.1. $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} R_1^\mu 1(x) = 0$ if and only if $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} p_t^\mu 1(x) = 0$ for any $t > 0$.

Proof. The “if” part is clear. Noting

$$R_1^\mu 1(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-s} p_s^\mu 1(x) ds \geq \int_0^t e^{-s} p_s^\mu 1(x) ds \geq t e^{-t} p_t^\mu 1(x),$$

we have this lemma. \square

A measure μ is said to be in \mathcal{K}_{loc} if $1_G \mu$ is of Kato class for any relatively compact open set $G \subset E$.

Theorem 5.1. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{K}_{loc}$. Assume that for any $M > 0$ there exists a Borel set D_M such that

- (i) $\mu \geq M \cdot m$ on D_M^c ,
- (ii) for any $t > 0$ and any $\epsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_x \left(\int_0^t 1_{D_M}(X_s) ds > \epsilon, t < \zeta \right) = 0.$$

Then p_t^μ is compact.

Proof. Owing to Remark 2.1 (i) and Lemma 5.1, it is sufficient to show that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} p_t^\mu 1(x) = 0$ for any $t > 0$.

Since

$$\left\{ \omega \in \Omega \mid \int_0^t 1_{D_M^c}(X_s) ds \geq t - \epsilon, t < \zeta \right\} = \left\{ \omega \in \Omega \mid \int_0^t 1_{D_M}(X_s) ds \leq \epsilon, t < \zeta \right\},$$

we have

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} p_t^\mu 1(x) &= \mathbb{E}_x \left(e^{-A_t^\mu}; \int_0^t 1_{D_M^c}(X_s) ds > \epsilon, t < \zeta \right) \\ &+ \mathbb{E}_x \left(e^{-A_t^\mu}; \int_0^t 1_{D_M^c}(X_s) ds \geq t - \epsilon, t < \zeta \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the assumption (i) that if $\int_0^t 1_{D_M^c}(X_s) ds \geq t - \epsilon$, then $\int_0^t 1_{D_M^c}(X_s) dA_s^\mu \geq M(t - \epsilon)$. Hence the second term of (5.1) is less than $\exp(-M(t - \epsilon))$ and thus

$$\limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} p_t^\mu 1(x) \leq e^{-M(t - \epsilon)}$$

by the assumption (ii). We have the desired claim by letting M to ∞ . \square

In the sequel, let us consider the symmetric α -stable process on \mathbb{R}^d , the Lévy process with generator $-(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 2$, and denote it by $X^\alpha = (\mathbb{P}_x, X_t)$. Let V be a positive function on \mathbb{R}^d in the local Kato class. Set

$$V_M = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid V(x) \leq M\}.$$

Lemma 5.2. *If $V_M \in \mathcal{B}_0$, then*

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_x \left(\int_0^t 1_{V_M}(X_s) ds > \epsilon \right) = 0.$$

Proof. We have

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_x \left(\int_0^t 1_{V_M}(X_s) ds > \epsilon \right) &= \mathbb{P}_x \left(\int_0^t 1_{V_M \cap B(x, R)}(X_s) ds + \int_0^t 1_{V_M \cap B(x, R)^c}(X_s) ds > \epsilon \right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}_x \left(\int_0^t 1_{V_M \cap B(x, R)}(X_s) ds > \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) + \mathbb{P}_x \left(\int_0^t 1_{V_M \cap B(x, R)^c}(X_s) ds > \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that by the same argument as in Lemma 3.1, the semi-group p_t of X^α satisfies $\|p_t\|_{p, \infty} \leq C/t^{d/(\alpha p)}$. We then see that for $p > d/\alpha$ the first term of the right-hand side is dominated by

$$\frac{2}{\epsilon} \mathbb{E}_x \left(\int_0^t 1_{V_M \cap B(x, R)}(X_s) ds \right) \leq C(\epsilon, t) \cdot m(V_M \cap B(x, R))^{1/p}$$

and tends to 0 as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ on account of (4.1), where m means the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^d .

Since $\int_0^t 1_{V_M \cap B(x, R)^c}(X_s) ds \leq (t - \tau_{B(x, R)})^+$, the second term of the right-hand side of (5.2) is dominated by

$$\mathbb{P}_x(t - \tau_{B(x, R)} > \epsilon/2) = \mathbb{P}_0(\tau_{B(R)} < t - \epsilon/2) \longrightarrow 0$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$. Here $\tau_{B(x, R)}$ is the first exit time from $B(x, R)$. Therefore, we have this lemma. \square

Lemma 5.2 is valid for any $B \in \mathcal{B}_0$. Combining Theorem 5.1 with Lemma 5.2, we have the next theorem.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $V \in \mathcal{K}_{loc}$. If $V_M \in \mathcal{B}_0$ for any $M > 0$, then the semi-group of $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} + V$ is compact.*

For the symmetric α -stable process, the compactness of p_t^V is equivalent to $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} p_t^V 1(x) = 0$ ([6, Lemma 9]). On account of Remark 2.1 (ii) and Lemma 5.1 we have the next corollary.

Corollary 5.1. *For $V \in \mathcal{K}_{loc}$, let X^V be the subprocess of the symmetric α -stable process by the multiplicative functional $\exp(-\int_0^t V(X_s)ds)$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) X^V is in Class (T);
- (ii) $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} p_t^V 1(x) = 0$;
- (iii) p_t^V is compact on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

References

- [1] M. Aizenman and B. Simon: *Brownian motion and Harnack inequality for Schrödinger operators*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **35** (1982), 209–273.
- [2] Z.-Q. Chen: *Gaugeability and Conditional Gaugeability*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** (2002), 4639–4679.
- [3] K.L. Chung and Z.X. Zhao: *From Brownian motion to Schrödinger's equation*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [4] D.E. Edmunds and W.D. Evans: *Spectral theory and differential operators*, Oxford University Press, 1987.
- [5] M. Fukushima, Y. Oshima and M. Takeda: *Dirichlet Forms and Symmetric Markov Processes*, de Gruyter, 2nd rev. and ext. ed., 2011.
- [6] K. Kaleta and T. Kulczycki: *Intrinsic ultracontractivity for Schrödinger operators based on fractional Laplacians*, Potential Anal. **33** (2010), 313–339.
- [7] K. Kuwae and M. Takahashi: *Kato class measures of symmetric Markov processes under heat kernel estimates*, J. Funct. Anal. **250** (2007), 86–113.
- [8] D. Lenz, P. Stollmann and D. Wingert: *Compactness of Schrödinger semigroups*, Math. Nachr. **283** (2010), 94–103.
- [9] E.H. Lieb and M. Loss: *Analysis*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **14**, American Mathematical Society, 2001.
- [10] M. Reed and B. Simon: *Method of Modern Mathematical Physics I: Functional Analysis*, Academic Press, 1980.
- [11] B. Simon: *Schrödinger operators with purely discrete spectra*, Methods Funct. Anal. Topology **15** (2009), 61–66.
- [12] B. Simon: *Operator theory, A Comprehensive Course in Analysis, Part 4*. American Mathematical Society, 2015.
- [13] P. Stollmann and J. Voigt: *Perturbation of Dirichlet forms by measures*, Potential Anal. **5** (1996), 109–138.
- [14] M. Takeda: *Compactness of symmetric Markov semi-groups and boundedness of eigenfunctions*, preprint.
- [15] M. Takeda and K. Tsuchida: *Differentiability of spectral functions for symmetric α -stable processes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359** (2007), 4031–4054.
- [16] Z. Zhao: *Subcriticality and gaugeability of the Schrödinger operator*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **334** (1992), 75–96.

Masayoshi Takeda
Mathematical Institute
Tohoku University
Aoba, Sendai 980-8578
Japan
e-mail: takeda@math.tohoku.ac.jp

Yoshihiro Tawara
Division of General Education
National Institute of Technology, Nagaoka College
Nagaoka, Niigata 940-8532
Japan
e-mail: tawara@nagaoka-ct.ac.jp

Kaneharu Tsuchida
Department of Mathematics
National Defense Academy
Yokosuka, Kanagawa 239-8686
Japan
e-mail: tsuchida@nda.ac.jp